

•

•

REPLY DECLARATION OF DONNA C. CUPELO, PATRICK A. GARZILLO, AND MICHAEL J. ANGLIN

ATTACHMENT 2

REDACTED – FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION



RECEIVED

JAN 1 0 2002 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

.

Before the **Federal Communications Commission** Washington, D.C. 20554

Federal Commun	ore the ications Commi n, D.C. 20554		RECEIVED JAN 1 0 2002
In the Matter of)	O O	COMMUNICATIONS COMMUNICATION
)	5 ,	THE OF THE COME COME
Application by Verizon New England)		THE OF THE SECRETARY
Inc., Bell Atlantic Communications, Inc.)		
(d/b/a Verizon Long Distance), NYNEX)		
Long Distance Company (d/b/a Verizon) CC	Docket	No. 01-324
Enterprise Solutions), Verizon Global)		
Networks Inc., and Verizon Select)		
Services Inc., for Authorization To)		
Provide In-Region, InterLATA Services)		
in Rhode Island)		

APPLICATION BY VERIZON NEW ENGLAND FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PROVIDE IN-REGION, INTERLATA SERVICES IN RHODE ISLAND

REPLY APPENDIX B

APPLICATION BY VERIZON NEW ENGLAND FOR AUTHORIZATION TO PROVIDE IN-REGION, INTERLATA SERVICES IN RHODE ISLAND

CC DOCKET NO. 01-324

REPLY APPENDIX B

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Selected Portions of the Record of Massachusetts DTE Docket No. 01-20 (TELRIC Proceeding)

Tab	Date	Description
1	05/04/01	Verizon MA's Panel Testimony on Costs and Rates for Unbundled
		Network Elements and Related Wholesale Services (Panel Includes Donald
		Albert, Michael Anglin, John Livecchi, and Nancy Matt) (Without Exhibit)
2	05/04/01	Verizon MA's Direct Testimony of Allen E. Sovereign (Without Exhibit)
3	05/04/01	Verizon MA's Direct Testimony of Dr. James H. Vander Weide
4	07/18/01	Verizon MA's Rebuttal Testimony of Allen E. Sovereign
5	12/17/01	Verizon MA's Panel Surrebuttal Testimony (Panel includes Michael
		Anglin, Joseph Gansert, John Livecchi, Nancy Matt, Louis Minion) –
		Redacted Version (Without Attachment)
6	12/17/01	Verizon MA's Surrebuttal Testimony of Dr. John M. Lacey (Without
		Attachment)
7	12/17/01	Verizon MA's Surrebuttal Testimony of Allen E. Sovereign

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY

Investigation by the Department on its own	§	
Motion into the Appropriate Pricing, based	§	
upon Total Element Long-Run Incremental	§	
Costs, for Unbundled Network Elements and	§	D. T. E. 01-20
Combinations of Unbundled Network Elements,	§	
and the Appropriate Avoided Cost Discount	§	
for Verizon New England Inc. d/b/a Verizon	§	
Massachusetts' Resale Services	§	

PANEL TESTIMONY OF VERIZON MASSACHUSETTS ON COSTS AND RATES FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RELATED WHOLESALE SERVICES

Members of the Panel:

Donald Albert Michael Anglin John Livecchi Nancy Matt

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page)
I.	PUR	OSE OF THE TESTIMONY1	
II.	THE	VITNESS PANEL1	
III.	SCO	E OF SERVICES CONSIDERED IN THIS FILING7	
IV.		CTURE OF THE FILING: TESTIMONY, EXHIBITS, KPAPERS, AND COST MODELS10	
V.	BASI	C COSTING AND PRICING APPROACH15	
	A.	The Cost Studies Are All Based on Long-Run Incremental Costs15	
	В.	Recovery of Common Costs18	
	C.	Avoidance of Double Recovery20	
	D.	Particular Issues Related To Determination of Investments	
		1. Material Investments22	
		2. Utilization Factors23	
		3. Investment Loadings28	
	E.	Deaveraging: General Issues35	
	F.	Annual Cost Factors36	
		1. Introduction36	
		2. Depreciation, Return, Interest, and Federal income Tax ACFs42	
		3. Property Tax and Other Tax ACFs43	
		4. Network ACF44	

		5.	Wholesale Marketing ACF	50
		6.	Other Support ACF	51
		7.	Common Overhead ACF	53
		8.	Gross Revenue Loading ACF	55
		9.	Right to Use (RTU) ACF	55
		10.	Generic Adjustments to Annual Cost Factors	57
VI.			OF THE NETWORK TECHNOLOGY MODEL ON COST STUDIES ARE BASED	65
VII.	LOC	AL LO	ops	66
	A.	in Ge	eneral	66
	В.	Туре	es of Loops Considered in this Testimony	67
	C.		nical Assumptions, Utilization Factors, and s for Specific Loop Types	68
		1.	Two- and Four-Wire Analog Loops; Two- and Four-Wire Digital Loops	68
		2.	DSL-Compatible Loops	96
		3.	Mechanized Loop Qualification	101
		4.	Line Sharing	110
		5.	DS3-And-Above High Capacity Loops	118
		6.	House and Riser	122
		7.	The Distribution Subloop	127
		8.	Unbundled Feeder Subloop	127
		9.	Dark Fiber	128
		10.	NIDS	131

VIII.	LOCA	AL SW	/ITCHING	133
	A.	Elem	nent Description	133
	В.	Tech	nnology Assumptions	135
	C.	Cost	ting Approach	137
		1.	Materials Investments	137
		2.	Discount	139
		3.	Utilization	160
		4.	Switch Ports	163
		5.	Usage	164
		6.	Port Additives	168
		7.	Deaveraging	169
	D.	Tanc	dem Switching	170
		1.	Element Description	170
		2.	Technology Assumptions	171
		3.	General Costing Approach	172
	E.	ТОР	S Switches	173
IX.	INTE	ROFF	ICE TRANSPORT	175
	A.	Dedi	icated Transport	176
		1.	Element Description	176
		2.	Technology Assumptions	177
		3.	Utilization	178
		4	Costing Approach	179

	В.	Common Transport	180
X.	SIGN	IALING SYSTEMS AND CALL-RELATED DATABASES	181
	A.	Scope	184
	В.	Element Description	184
	C.	STP Port and Signaling Link	185
	D.	LIDB, 800, and AIN Database Query	187
	E.	800, LIDB, AIN, and Call Set-up Transport	188
	F.	AIN Service Management System and AIN Service Creation	
XI.	ELEI	MENT COMBINATIONS	192
	A.	Regulatory Background	192
	B.	Recurring Charges for Combinations: In General	192
	C.	The EEL Testing Charge	193
XII.	MISC	CELLANEOUS SERVICES	195
	A.	Daily Usage File	195
	В.	Customized Routing	197

I. PURPOSE OF THE TESTIMONY

2 Q. What is the purpose of this testimony?

A. In accordance with the Department's *Vote and Order* of January 12, 2001, this testimony is submitted by Verizon Massachusetts ("Verizon MA" or the "Company") to present its recurring cost studies for network elements (and certain related services) offered by Verizon MA to its CLEC customers. Here we take a fresh look at costing and pricing issues, incorporating the latest available data, guided by all relevant rulings of the Department and the FCC (including the FCC's

11

10

1

12 II. THE WITNESS PANEL

UNE Remand Order¹).

- Q. Who are the members of the witness Panel sponsoring thistestimony?
- 15 A. The members of this Panel are: Donald Albert, Michael Anglin, Nancy
 16 Matt, and John Livecchi. In addition, we rely on other testimony
 17 presented in this case. Dr. William Taylor is submitting testimony

Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98, Third Report and Order and Fourth Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (rel. November 5, 1999).

1		discussing the economic principles guiding the development of these
2		studies. Dr. James Vander Weide is submitting testimony on the cost
3		of capital. Mr. Allen Sovereign is submitting testimony on
4		depreciation (asset lives).
5	Q.	What role did each member of this Panel play in the preparation of
6		this testimony and the associated studies?
7	A.	Although all members of this Panel have reviewed and support this
8		testimony in its entirety, each Panel member assumed primary
9		responsibility for specific segments of the testimony. Each Panel
10		member relies on the facts and analyses developed by the other
11		Panel members in their areas of primary responsibility. Specifically:
12 13 14		 Mr. Albert had primary responsibility for issues relating to the utilization factors and network architecture, with the exception of the loop.
15 16 17 18 19 20		 Mr. Anglin had primary responsibility for the development and review of the costing principles and methodologies used in the studies, as well as being specifically responsible for investment loadings, the development of Annual Cost Factors ("ACFs"), the local loop studies, and Enhanced Extended Link ("EEL") testing costs.
21 22 23 24		 Ms. Matt had primary responsibility for the cost studies for high capacity loops, switching, transport, signaling systems, and Service Management Systems ("SMS"), and miscellaneous studies.

1	•	Mr. Livecchi had primary responsibility for issues relating to
2		the utilization factors and network architecture associated with
3		the loop.

- Q. Mr. Albert, please describe those aspects of your professional
 background most pertinent to your testimony.
- 6 I am Network Engineering Director of Competitive Local Exchange A. 7 Carrier ("CLEC") Implementation for Verizon Services Corp. (formerly, 8 Bell Atlantic Network Services, Inc.). In that position, I am directly 9 involved in the negotiation of CLEC interconnection agreements and 10 the network implementation of CLEC unbundling, interconnection and 11 collocation arrangements throughout the former Bell Atlantic region. I 12 earned my Bachelor of Science degree in Civil Engineering from Virginia 13 Tech in Blacksburg, Virginia, in 1977. Since then, I have had over 23 14 years of telecommunications experience with Verizon, Bell Atlantic and 15 the C&P telephone companies. During this time, I have held a variety of 16 positions of increasing responsibility in Network Operations, Sales, and 17 Network Planning and Engineering. I have been in my current position 18 for four years. Prior to my present assignment, I was Director of 19 Customer Network Engineering for Bell Atlantic. In connection with 20 various CLEC arbitrations, and Section 271 local competition 21 proceedings, I have previously testified before commissions in

PANEL TESTIMONY OF VERIZON - MASSACHUSETTS ON COSTS AND RATES FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RELATED WHOLESALE SERVICES

- Massachusetts, Maine, New Hampshire, New York, Pennsylvania, New
 Jersey, Maryland, Virginia, Delaware, Washington, D.C., and West
 Virginia on a range of subjects associated with the design, engineering
 and operation of telecommunications equipment and networks.
- Q. Mr. Anglin, please describe those aspects of your professional
 background most pertinent to your testimony.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

I am a Director of Regulatory Support for the Verizon Service Cost organization. I have been employed by Verizon and its predecessor companies since 1971. In my current position, I am responsible for directing the development of service cost studies in support of regulatory filings throughout Verizon. Since 1991, I have held positions of increasing responsibility within Verizon's Service Cost I have been responsible for developing cost organization. methodologies, conducting cost studies, and supporting the Company's cost studies before state regulators throughout the Verizon region. I previously testified before this Department in Phase 4 of the Consolidated Arbitrations regarding TELRIC studies for unbundled network elements. I have also testified on similar matters in Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. From 1987 to 1991, I was assigned to the Company's Network Operations Center,

1		with the responsibility for providing expert technical support for the
2		Company's switching network throughout the New England states.
3		Prior to 1987, I held a variety of positions with New England
4		Telephone in the areas of Network Operations and Network
5		Engineering.
6	Q.	Ms. Matt, please describe those aspects of your professional
7		background most pertinent to your testimony.
8	A.	I am a Manager within Verizon's Service Costs organization. I
9		received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Applied Physics from
10		Stockton State College in 1979 and a Master of Science Degree in
11		Computer Science from Stevens Institute of Technology in 1983. I
12		am a Licensed Professional Engineer in the State of New Jersey.
13		After receiving my Bachelor's degree, I spent five years working for
14		various engineering consulting firms as a civil engineer. I began my
15		career with NYNEX in August 1984, as a Central Office Equipment
16		Engineer, and I was responsible for the implementation of carrier
17		facilities installations for the West 50th Street Central Office. In
18		October 1988, I was promoted to the position of Project Manager in
19		the Project Management group within the Network Engineering
20		Department, In July 1990, I was promoted to Engineering Manager of

PANEL TESTIMONY OF VERIZON - MASSACHUSETTS ON COSTS AND RATES FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RELATED WHOLESALE SERVICES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q.

Α.

the Switch Engineering group within the Engineering Department. In this position, I was responsible for developing end-office switch engineering methods. In November 1991, I was reassigned as the Engineering Manager of the Outside Plant Planning group, responsible for analyzing and recommending new technologies for infrastructure deployment in the Midtown Manhattan market area. In December 1993, I was reassigned as the Engineering Manager of the Outside Plant Design group, responsible for all outside plant design and implementation for the Midtown West Manhattan market area. In April 1995, I was reassigned as Staff Manager, Service Costs, where my responsibilities included developing cost studies that served as the basis for tariff and regulatory filings. In January 1998, I was reassigned to my current position as Manager in the Regulatory Support group within the Service Cost organization. I have testified on service costs issues in New York's proceeding on resale and unbundled network elements. Mr. Livecchi, please describe those aspects of your professional background most pertinent to your testimony. I am currently Director for FCC and Regulatory Support for Verizon's

Outside Plant Engineering ("OPE") Organization. My group supports

PANEL TESTIMONY OF VERIZON - MASSACHUSETTS ON COSTS AND RATES FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RELATED WHOLESALE SERVICES

the field OPE groups, managing issues relative to FCC merger compliance, 271 long distance entry, joint use agreements, and State Regulatory support. In 1983, I earned a Bachelor of Science Degree in Industrial Engineering and Operations Research from Syracuse University and a Master of Science Degree in Management of Technology from the University of Pennsylvania in 1996. I have 17 years of experience in the design and planning of outside plant networks. I began my career in 1984 in the outside plant engineering department of the former NYNEX Company. Since that time, I have held a variety of positions of increasing responsibility in outside plant engineering with former Bell Atlantic and now Verizon.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13 III. SCOPE OF SERVICES CONSIDERED IN THIS FILING

- 14 Q. What services are included in this filing?
- 15 A. In the revised version of Rule 319² set forth in the *UNE Remand*16 Order, the FCC identified six network elements to which incumbent
 17 LECs are required to provide access on an unbundled basis under

² 47 C.F.R. § 51.319.

PANEL TESTIMONY OF VERIZON - MASSACHUSETTS ON COSTS AND RATES FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RELATED WHOLESALE SERVICES

§ 251(c)(3) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. These are local
loops, Network Interface Devices, switching, interoffice transport,
signaling systems and databases, and Operations Support Systems
("OSS"). Mr. Minion discusses the OSS network element in his
testimony. We discuss the other five required elements separately
below, certain element combinations, and miscellaneous services.
1. The local loop studies included in this filing address the four
basic types of loops addressed (two- and four-wire analog loops, and
two- and four-wire digital loops), as well as DS1 loops and high-
capacity loops (DS3 and above loops), dark fiber loops, subloops
(including house and riser), and ADSL/HDSL-compatible loops.
Studies of line sharing (i.e., situations in which a CLEC offers data
services over the same physical loop as is used by Verizon MA to
provide voice services), and of conditioning charges for DSL-

- Studies are included in this filing for Network Interface Devices ("NIDs").
- 3. The **switching** studies included here address both local and tandem switching. Separate rates are set for ports and switch usage, and feature costs are specifically identified.

compatible loops, are also included here.

PANEL TESTIMONY OF VERIZON - MASSACHUSETTS ON COSTS AND RATES FOR UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS AND RELATED WHOLESALE SERVICES

1

4.

This testimony presents a full range of unbundled interoffice

2		transport offerings.
3		5. With respect to signaling system and databases, Verizon
4		MA offers STP ports, signaling links, SS7 transport, and access to
5		certain call-related databases in this filing. Also included in this filing
6		are Service Management Systems ("SMS") costs.
7		6. Element combinations. This filing considers additional
8		charges applicable to combinations (i.e., the EEL Testing Charge)
9		beyond the sum of the recurring charges for the constituent elements.
10		The NRCs are described in the testimony of Mr. Meacham.
11		7. Our testimony also includes a number of cost studies to
12		support miscellaneous charges such as customized routing and Daily
13		Usage File ("DUF").
14		We note that Verizon MA has not included costs associated with
15		Operator Services/Directory Assistance ("OS/DA") in this filing. The
16		FCC determined in its UNE Remand Order that incumbent LECs are
17		not required to offer unbundled access to OS/DA, as long as they
18		offer customized routing, as Verizon MA does.
19	Q.	Do the cost studies provided in this filing support all of the rates currently
20		contained in Verizon MA's Wholesale Tariff ("D.T.E Mass No. 17")?

1	Α.	While most costs provided in this filing translate directly into wholesale
2		rates contained in the Wholesale Tariff, the rates for certain rates
3		(sometimes referred to as "composite" rates) are based on a combination
4		of the costs of individual elements. For example, meet Point A and B
5		intercarrier compensation rates are based on a combination of Local
6		Switching and Interoffice Transport costs. Verizon MA will revise its
7		Wholesale Tariff at the conclusion of this proceeding with new composite
8		rates based on the costs which the Department sets for the individual
9		elements.
10		
11 12	IV.	STRUCTURE OF THE FILING: TESTIMONY, EXHIBITS, WORKPAPERS, AND COST MODELS
	IV. Q.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12		WORKPAPERS, AND COST MODELS
12 13		WORKPAPERS, AND COST MODELS How is the Panel Testimony and supporting documentation
12 13 14	Q.	WORKPAPERS, AND COST MODELS How is the Panel Testimony and supporting documentation organized?
12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	WORKPAPERS, AND COST MODELS How is the Panel Testimony and supporting documentation organized? The Panel testimony is organized into the following sections: Section V presents the Company's basic costing and pricing approach, including detailed discussions of the Company's

1	Supporting materials for the Panel testimony are incorporated into a
2	single Exhibit that is subdivided as follows:
3	Part A – Results/Index
4	Part B – Local Loops
5	Part C – Switching
6	Part D – Interoffice Transport
7	 Part E – Signaling Systems and Databases
8	Part F – Miscellaneous Services
9	Part G – Factors
10	Part A contains a summary of the results of each study element and a
11	complete cost study index that contains references to all workpapers
12	as well as the electronic files which accompany this filing.
13	Certain of the workpapers and exhibits are proprietary, and are being
14	filed only with the Department and will be provided to other parties
15	pursuant to a properly executed non-disclosure agreement.
16	The Company's studies were developed in part using two costing
17	tools or models that the Company has developed — a loop cost
18	model ("LCAM") and an interoffice transport model. Copies of these
19	models are included in this filing.